

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/696,276	Applicant(s) SHIRAISHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	Art Unit 2827	

All Participants:

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(2) Shawn W. O'Dowd (34,687).

Status of Application: Allowed with the entry of supplemental amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 August 2003

Time: _

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 21 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: (a) With the new amendment two groups of the claims were in allowable conditions except the group with independent claim 21 and dependent claims 6 and 26. Asked the applicant to either amend or cancel the claims. A supplemental amendment submitted in response.

(b) Cross hatching patterns were not correct. To be changed to show respective material of the element as shown by examiner's amendment. .